



ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

2015 FEB -6 P 4: 34

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

Lisa Malagon

Leader Federal and State Compliance

Mail Station 9712 PO Box 53999 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999 Tel 602-250-5671 Elisa.Malagon@aps.com

February 6, 2015

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

RE:

Arizona Public Service Company ("APS" or "Company")

Docket No. E-00000J-13-0375

Comments to Commissioner Bob Burns' letter regarding IRP workshop

Attached please find the Company's Comments to Commissioner Bob Burns' letter dated January 27, 2015 regarding a workshop to discuss Integrated Resource Planning to be held February 26, 2015.

If you have any questions regarding this information, please contact Greg Bernosky at (602)250-4849.

Sincerely,

Lisa Malagon

LM/sb Attachment

cc:

Parties of Record Steve Olea

20-mile

Terri Ford

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB - 6 2015

DOCKETEDBY

1 2 3	Thomas L. Mumaw, AZ Bar No. 009223 Melissa M. Krueger, AZ Bar No. 021176 Pinnacle West Capital Corporation 400 North 5th Street, MS 8695 Phoenix, Arizona 85004			
4	Tel: (602) 250-2052 Fax: (602) 250-3393			
5	E-Mail: Thomas.Mumaw@pinnaclewest.com			
6	Melissa.Krueger@pinnaclewest.com Attorneys for Arizona Public Service Company			
7	7 recomeys for 7 mizona 1 done betwee Company			
8	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORP	ORATION COMMISSION		
10	COMMISSIONERS			
11	SUSAN BITTER SMITH, Chairman			
12	BOB STUMP BOB BURNS			
13	DOUG LITTLE TOM FORESE			
14		DOCKETNO E 000001 12 0070		
15	IN THE MATTER OF RESOURCE PLANNING AND PROCUREMENT.	DOCKET NO. E-00000V-13-0070		
16				
17	IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S INQUIRY INTO POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO	DOCKET NO. E-00000J-13-0375		
18	THE CURRENT UTILITY MODEL RESULTING FROM INNOVATION AND	APS'S COMMENTS IN		
19	TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS IN GENERATION AND DELIVERY OF	RESPONSE TO COMMISSIONER BURNS' JANUARY 27, 2015		
20	ENERGY.	LETTER		
21	APS submits the following comments in advance of the February 26, 2015			
22	workshop and in response to Commissioner Robert Burns' January 27, 2015 letter. APS			
23	looks forward to discussing these issues at the workshop.			
24	A. Improvements to the IRP Process			
25	As noted in APS's December 5, 2014 Comments to Staff's Assessment of 2014			
26	Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), which are incorporated herein by this reference, APS			
27	agrees that the current IRP process in Arizona could be improved by increasing the			
28	transparency of the process and including earlier input from the Commission and			

•

٠

.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

stakeholders. Specifically, APS proposed conducting a Request for Information (RFI) early in the process to obtain technology specific information about the relative costs and operational characteristics of various technologies, including feasible emerging technologies. An independent monitor would review the RFI process and stakeholders would be afforded the opportunity to provide input into the RFI. The results of the RFI would be provided to the Commission and its consultant.

APS also proposed that a Commission led workshop or workshops be held during the IRP development process. APS suggested that such workshop(s) address issues such as utility system needs, upcoming generation needs, potential planning scenarios, load growth and forecasts. The workshops would involve the Commissioners, Commission's IRP consultants and Staff, all jurisdictional utilities, and stakeholders, and would provide a formal opportunity for these groups to provide input earlier in each utility's planning process. The workshop could also be used to address the comment raised by RUCO and others regarding providing a formal mechanism for earlier input into the process by the Commission and affected stakeholders on critical inputs such as load forecasts, resource costs, new technologies, impacts of future anticipated regulations and customer preferences. APS may also conduct additional workshops and solicit customer feedback as part of its process for preparing IRPs.

B. Improving Coordination Between IRP and Existing Transmission Planning Processes

APS is also supportive of further enhancing the IRP process to include concepts such as integration with transmission planning through consideration of the utility 10-Year Transmission Plans and available long-range distribution initiatives such as advanced grid technology deployment plans. APS is currently exploring whether, and if so how, other jurisdictions integrate these two vital processes and looks forward to discussing how this might work consistent with Arizona's existing rules and planning processes. APS also supports utilizing the IRP process to better determine the level, types, and timing of energy efficiency and other demand side management resources

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26 27

28

customer behavior. C. Assessment and Integration of Additional & Emerging Technologies

needed on the system, such as load control programs and rate plans that influence

In preparing its 2014 IRP, APS considered a wide variety of supply side and In that IRP, APS demand side technologies, including emerging technologies. emphasized rapidly changing markets and the need for highly flexible, responsive generation. Consistent with this, APS assessed, among other things, the costs and feasibility of employing flexible generation assets such as storage solutions, the impact of distributed generation, and the deployment of advanced grid technologies such as communication infrastructure, voltage management, automated switching, asset health monitoring, and operational platforms. The results of this assessment are reported in APS's 2014 IRP.

In its Report on Innovation and Technological Developments, filed December 19, 2014, Commission Staff discusses several technological developments that were topics of presentations in the 2014 workshops and are expected to impact the utility industry, stakeholders, and regulators. These developments include microgrids, expansion of the advanced grid, distribution voltage optimization, and energy imbalance markets. As discussed in the workshops, APS has already installed or is actively exploring each of these technologies in order to modernize the grid, ensure flexibility, and enable choice for our customers. APS supports Staff's recommendations for utilities to report progress on development and integration of these advanced technologies within an expanded IRP process.

Resource Procurement and the APS-RUCO Memorandum of D. Understanding

As discussed in APS's December 5, 2014 Comments to Staff's Assessment of 2014 Integrated Resource Plan, APS has agreed that prior to proceeding with the additional 300 MW of peaking capacity planned for the Ocotillo Modernization Project (OMP), the Company would conduct a Request for Proposal (RFP) for approximately

300 MW of peaking capacity. This RFP was issued on January 30, 2015, and APS has retained an independent monitor as required by the resource planning rules. Bids are anticipated to be received by March 18, 2015.

The Commission and other interested parties have questioned how utility IRPs and procurement decisions could be more transparent, and how stakeholders could have more input to these processes. APS offers the following suggestions for discussion regarding increased Commission involvement in utility procurement decisions. First, APS recommends that the Commission actually approve, rather than merely "acknowledge," individual utility resource plans. With an IRP approval process, the Commission would have a better opportunity to provide policy direction and guidance to utilities regarding their future plans. In turn, an approval process would also provide utilities with an increased level of certainty prior to proceeding with future plans. Second, as noted above, APS has recommended that utilities conduct an RFI during the An RFI will provide all parties with more robust initial IRP planning stages. information regarding available technologies earlier in the IRP planning cycle that can then be used to impact future planning and procurement decisions. Third, APS's agreement with RUCO to conduct an all-source RFP prior to December 21, 2016 will provide information that can be considered in the IRP process as well as future procurement decisions. In this all-source RFP, as with the OMP Peaking RFP, price transparency will be gained for a variety of technologies, including energy storage.

E. Potential Speakers

APS is happy to make available its in-house subject matter experts to present at the February 26th workshop. Jim Wilde, Director of Resource Planning at APS, will discuss IRP issues including the Company's recommendations on process changes, and Scott Bordenkircher, Director of T&D Technology Innovation and Integration at APS, will discuss the Company's testing, development, and adoption of emerging technologies. APS also suggests the Commission consider inviting a representative

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	Π		
1	from the Regulatory Assistance Project to speak at the workshop to provide insights o		
2	how resource planning is conducted in other jurisdictions.		
3	Conclusion		
4	APS looks forward to continuing discussions with the Commission and other		
5	interested parties on emerging technologies, improvements to the IRP process, and other		
6	important issues in the upcoming workshop.		
7			
8	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 6th day of February, 2015.		
9	RESTECTION TO THE UNIS OUT GOT		
10	$M \cap M \cup M$		
11	By: // Chso (1/1) July (1) Thomas L. Mumaw		
12	Melissa M. Krueger		
13	Attorneys for Arizona Public Service Company		
14			
15	ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing filed this 6th day of February 2015, with:		
16			
17	Docket Control		
18	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
	Phoenix Arizona 85007		
19	Copies of the foregoing delivered/mailed this		
20	6th day of February, 2015, to:		
21	Janice Alward C. Webb Crockett		
22	Legal Division Patrick J. Black Arizona Corporation Commission Fennemore Craig, P.C.		
23	1200 West Washington 2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600		
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-3429 Attorneys for Freeport-McMoRan and AECC		
25	Lyn Formor Doniel W. Doniel W.		
26	Lyn Farmer Daniel W. Pozefsky Administrative Law Judge Chief Counsel		
27	Arizona Corporation Commission Residential Utility Consumer Office		
28	1200 West Washington 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix Arizona 85007 Phoenix Arizona 85007		

- 5 -

1 2 3 4	Steve Olea Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007	Anthony L. Wanger President IO Data Centers, LLC 615 North 48 th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85008
5 6 7	James Hamilton Hamilton Consulting 822 North 5 th Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85003	Christopher D. Thomas Fred E. Breedlove III Squire Sanders (US) LLP 1 East Washington, 27 th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85004
8 9		1 1100 HA, 7 H120 HH 0500 T
10	Alan L. Kierman Director of Real Estate & Special	Michael W. Patten Jason Gellman
11	Counsel IO Data Centers, LLC	Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC One Arizona Center
12	615 North 48 th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85008	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
13	,	Attorneys for TEP and UNS Electric
14 15	Cynthia Zwick Arizona Community Action Association	Stephanie Wojcik Finance Director
16	2700 North 3 rd Street, Suite 3040 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Town of Wickenburg 155 North Tegner, Suite A
17	THOOMA, THEOMA 05004	Wickenburg, Arizona 85390
18	Bradley S. Carroll Kimberly A. Ruht	Peggy Gillman Menagar of Public Affairs & Engrav Sarvines
19 20	Tucson Electric Power Company 88 East Broadway Boulevard	Manager of Public Affairs & Energy Services Mohave Electric Cooperative, Incorporated P.O. Box 1045
21	Mail Station HQE910 P.O. Box 711	Bullhead City, Arizona 86430
22	Tucson, Arizona 85702	
23	Michael A. Curtis	Heather McInnelly
24	William P. Sullivan 501 East Thomas Road	Renewable Resources Specialist Navopache Electric Cooperative
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205 Attorneys for Town of Wickenburg,	1878 West White Mountains Boulevard Lakeside, Arizona 85929
26	Mohave Electric, HoHoKam,	
27 28	Navopache Electric	
20		

1	Sidney Smith Manager	Court S. Rich Rose Law Group pc
2	HoHoKam Irrigation & Draina	
3	District 142 South Arizona Boulevard	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Attorney for TASC
4	Coolidge, Arizona 85128	Autority for TASC
5	Dillon Holmes	Christopher Hitchcock
6	Clean Power Arizona 9635 North 7 th Street, #47520	Law Offices of Christopher Hitchcock P.O. Box AT
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85068	Bisbee, Arizona 85603-0115
8		Attorney for SSVEC
9	John Wallace GCSECA	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
10	2210 South Priest Drive	P.O. Box 1448 2247 East Frontage Road, Suite 1
11	Tempe, Arizona 85282	Tubac, Arizona 85646
12		Attorney for Noble Americas
13	Jack Blair Sulphur Springs Valley Electri	Greg Bass ic Noble Americas Energy Solutions
14	Cooperative, Inc.	401 West A Street, Suite 500
15	311 East Wilcox Drive Sierra Vista, Arizona 85635-2527	San Diego, California 92101
16		
17	12-7	
18	In n	
19	7,	
20		
21		
22		
23	•	
24		
25		
26		